UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ELSWORTH WHITE,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Case No. 05-73718 Honorable Denise Page Hood Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives
MICHAEL BOUCHARD and JOHN DOE,	
Defendants.	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION & GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives' Report and Recommendation ("R & R") [Docket # 14], which recommends that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Docket # 11] be granted. Plaintiff did not file objections to the R & R.¹

If a party objects to specific portions of the R & R, a district court should "make a de novo determination of those portions." 28 U.S.C. § 636. If an R & R is not objected to, a district court need not review it by any standard. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636; *see also Lardie v. Birkett*, 221 F. Supp. 2d 806, 807 (E.D. Mich. 2002). A district court "may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(B)(1).

The Court has reviewed the R & R and agrees with its reasoning and conclusions of law. In light of the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the R & R of Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives [Docket # 14] is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** as this Court's findings and conclusions of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Docket # 11] is

¹The docket indicates that Plaintiff did not receive a copy of the R & R, because the copy was returned as undeliverable. *See* Docket # 15.

GR	A		ית די	\mathbf{n}	
(TK	А		L P	.,	_

IT IS	FURTHER	ORDERED	that	Plaintiff's	Complaint	is	DISMISSED	WITHOUT
PREJUDICE	Σ.							

s/ DENISE PAGE HOOD
Denise Page Hood
United States District Judge

Dated: May 27, 2008